



## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/043,268** 

Dionne A. Walls

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 1731

Van Kessel et al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	ΓO personnel):
(1) Dionne A. Walls	(3)
(2) Mr. Kevin McDermott	
Date of Interview Sep 13, 1999	· ·
Type: X Telephonic Personal (copy is given to	☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: All  Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
in Amendment B which was not previously entered.	,13 and 18 are amended to include additional language reflected
the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no o	endments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. $\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview.
${\scriptscriptstyle \perp}$ AST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCI	e to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE CLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requiremen claims are now allowable, this completed form is	(including any attachments) reflects a complete response to nts that may be present in the last Office action, and since the s considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last viding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an	attachment to a signed Office action.